AO 247 (Rev. 11/11) Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)

Page 1 of 2 (Page 2 Not for Public Disclosure)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

		Southern Distr	ict of Ohio
Date of Original Date of Previous (Use Date of Last A.	s Amended Judgment: mended Judgment if Any) ORDER REGARDI	01/08/2009) ING MOTION	Case No: 3:08-CR-41-01 USM No: 44692-061 Gordon G. Hobson Defendant's Attorney FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION
§ 3582(c)(2) for subsequently bee § 994(u), and har and the sentencin	otion of the defendance areduction in the term of the lowered and made retroving considered such moting factors set forth in 18 to that the motion is:	the Director of imprisonment imposactive by the Unitedition, and taking into U.S.C. § 3553(a), to and the defendant's principal of the defendant's principal of the defendant of	U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) of the Bureau of Prisons the court under 18 U.S.C. osed based on a guideline sentencing range that has d States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 the extent that they are applicable, reviously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the is reduced to 210
	(Сотр.	lete Parts I and II of Pag	ge 2 when motion is granted)
Except as otherw IT IS SO ORDE Order Date:	ise provided, all provision RED. 02/24/2015	ns of the judgment d	
Effective Date:	11/01/2015 (if different from order date)		Thomas M. Rose, United States District Judge Printed name and title